# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D	03	OCT	2005
			PCT
WIPC			

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ON	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
INTM-032WO International application No.	International filing date (day	/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US04/10049	31 March 2004 (31.03.2004)					
International Patent Classification (IPC)	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC					
IPC(7): A61K 45/00, 47/00, 48/00, 49/10	, 38/21 and US Cl.: 424/93.1,	85.4, 85.5, 85.6, 85.7	; 514/44, 45, 46, 49, 50			
Applicant						
INTERMUNE. INC.						
Examining Authority under	r Article 35 and transmitted	l to the applicant ac				
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of 💋 sheets, includ	ing this cover sheet				
3. This report is also accompa	anied by ANNEXES, comp	orising:				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nt and to the International					
this report a	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
sheets which that goes bey	supersede earlier sheets, b	ut which this Auth	ority considers contain an amendment ation as filed, as indicated in item 4 of			
b. (sent to the Internation of the indicated in the	C() (1) the transfer of electronic corrier(s))					
Administrative Ir		• •				
4. This report contains indica	ations relating to the follow	ing items:				
Box No. I B	asis of the report					
L	riority					
	Ion-establishment of opinic pplicability	on with regard to no	velty, inventive step and industrial			
· —	ack of unity of invention		·			
Box No. V R	Reasoned statement under ndustrial applicability; citat	Article 35(2) with ions and explanation	h regard to novelty, inventive step or one supporting such statement			
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	ational application				
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the					
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this						
22 October 2004	(22.10.2004)	16 August 2005 (16.	.08.2005)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Aythorized officer	a Grand for			
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		Bao Oun Li	a Julience for			
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. 571	•			
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Telephone 140. 371	-212-1000			

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(January 2004)

International application No.	
PCT/US04/10049	

Box	No.	I Basis of the report
		regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, as otherwise indicated under this item.
ĺ		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
t	o the	regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not seed to this report):
ļ		the international application as originally filed/furnished
		the description:
		pages 1-53 as originally filed/furnished
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on received by this Authority on
	<b>~</b>	
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:
		pages 51 and 52 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		the drawings:
	ب	pages NONE as originally filed/furnished
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages
		the claims, Nos
		the drawings, sheets/figs
		the sequence listing (specify):
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages
		the claims, Nos
		the drawings, sheets/figs
		the sequence listing (specify):
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
* ]	fiten	n 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."
L		7777 L 100 (7 2) Y (7 2004)

International application No.

PCT/US04/10049

Box No.	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
$\boxtimes$	the entire international application			
	claims Nos			
	because:			
	the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
$\boxtimes$	the claims, or said claims Nos. <u>1-13</u> are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 1-13			
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
	the written form has not been furnished			
	does not comply with the standard  the computer readable form has not been furnished  does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See Supplemental Box for further details.			

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. III) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/US04/10049

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1. Statement				
No	ovelty (N)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims	NONE	NO
In	ventive Step (IS)	Claims	8-13	YES
		Claims	1-7	NO
Inc	dustrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	YES
		Claims	NONE	NO

#### 2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-7 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Dusheiko G. HEPATOLOGY 1997, Vol. 26 (Suppl. 1), pages 112S-121S) in view of Margolin S. (WO 94/26249A), Shinichi et al. (Molecular Medicine 1999, Vol. 36, No. 2, pages 202-205) Schuppan D. et al. Cell Death and Defferentiation. January 2003, Vol. 10, pages S59-S67) and Garcia et al. Journal of hepatology, December 2002, Vol. 37, pages 797-805).

Dusheiko G. teaches that a very rear but severe side effects of using a high dose of interferon treatment is pneumonitis with minimal lung fibrosis. Shinichi et al. teach that the current therapy of hepatitis virus infection is use of INF-α and/or INF-γ optionally with nucleoside lamivudine (See entire document) or in combination with non-pirfenidone compound ribavirin.

Margolin S. teaches that pirfenidone and its analog is a compound useful for treating fibrotic lesion (See abstract and claims 1-22. Schuppan et al. teach that hepatitits C infection usually cuases liver fibrosis (See entire document).

Garcia et al. teach that pirfenidone effectively revesse aexperimental liver fibrosis in an animal model and they suggest that pirfenidone can be used for treating fibrosis affecting dissimilar organs (See entire document and especially, page 804). Therefore it would have been obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings from cited references and treating a hepatitis C virus infection with interferon in combination with pirfenidone and a nucleoside in order to treat a fibrosis either cause by using interferon or by virus infection.

Claims 8-13 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest to use Non-pirfenidone or perfinidone analog for treating the severe side effect cause by using INF- $\alpha$  and INF- $\gamma$ . Claims 1-13 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus the remedy of using Non-pirfenidone or prifenidone has an industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

International application No.

	PCT/US04/10049
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
The following of	defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:
Claims 1-13 are of description. The INF-induced fibro	objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because the claims 1-13 are not fully supported by the application, as originally filed, did not describe: any example of using a non-pirfenidone or pirfenidone to treat an osis in a virus infected animal model or in a virus infected patient.
	•
	•
	<b>,</b>
	,
	·
	·

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. VII) (January 2004)

International application No.

PCT/US04/10049

Box No. V	TII (	artain o	hearwatione	on the i	ntorm office al	application	
DUA INU. Y	1114 <b>\</b>	certain o	DServations	on the II	nternationai	adducation	

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1-13 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because the claims 1-13 are not fully supported by the description. The application, as originally filed, did not describe: any example for using a non-pirfenidone or pirfenidone to treat an INF-induced fibrosis in a virus infected animal model or in a virus infected patient.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)